



## Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact [support@jstor.org](mailto:support@jstor.org).

35 Atl. 825; "*The Count Joannes*" v. *Bennett*, 5 Allen 169; *Fitzgerald v. Robinson*, 112 Mass. 371. In general, neither from pulpit nor altar can slander be uttered without justification, even though the purpose is to reform an evil-doer. OGDERS, SLANDER & LIBEL (1st Amer Ed.) p. 242; *Magrath v. Finn*, Ir. R. 11 C. L. 152. For not only must the occasion be privileged, but the interest or duty must be co-existent with the communication, NEWELL, DEFAMATION, p. 477; the defamatory matter must not exceed the exigency of the occasion. *Hines v. Shumaker*, 97 Miss. 669, 52 South 705; and even where there is a community of interest, the privilege is lost when the words are conveyed to too large a number. NEWELL, DEFAMATION, p. 529. Qualified privilege and fair comment often are discussed interchangeably. In truth, no identity exists and the distinction is clear. *Plymouth Society v. Traders' Pub. Co.*, [1906] 1 K. B. 403; *Burt v. Advertiser Newspaper Co.*, 154 Mass 238, 242, 28 N.E. 1, 13 L. R. A. 97. Privilege attaches to the occasion and the communicant, comment and criticism to the public character of the person or thing defamed. See 10 MICH. L. REV. 351.

MASTER AND SERVANT—HOURS OF LABOR FOR WOMEN—CONSTITUTIONAL LAW.—Mass. Statutes 1909, Chap. 514, § 48, limiting the time during which women may be employed at labor in manufacturing and mechanical establishments to 56 hours a week and to 10 hours in each day, (with some exceptions), and providing that every employer shall post in a conspicuous place in every room where such persons are employed a printed notice stating the number of hours of work required of them in each day of the week, the hours of commencing and stopping work, and the hours when the time for meals begins and ends, etc., *Held*, constitutional. *Commonwealth v. Riley*, (Mass. 1912), 97 N. E. 367.

The court follows its decision rendered in 1876 upholding the constitutionality of a statute restricting the hours of employment of women and children in a single manufacturing service. *Com. v. Hamilton, Woolen Co.*, 120 Mass. 383. Similar acts have been recently upheld in the following cases: *Muller v. Oregon*, 208 U. S. 412, 28 Sup. Ct. 324, 52 L. Ed. 551, affirming *State v. Muller*, 48 Or. 252, 85 Pac. 855, 120 Am. St. Rep. 805; *Wenham v. State*, 65 Neb. 394, 91 N.W. 421, 58 L. R. A. 825; *State v. Buchanan*, 29 Wash. 602, 70 Pac. 52, 59 L. R. A. 342, 92 Am. St. Rep. 930; *Com v. Beatty*, 15 Pa. Super. Ct. 5, 17; *Withey v. Bloom*, 163 Mich. 419, 128 N.W. 913; *Ritchie v. Wayman*, 244 Ill. 509, 91 N.E. 695, 27 L. R. A. (N.S.) 994; *People v. Bowes-Allegretti Co.*, 244 Ill. 557, 91 N. E. 701. There are a few cases to the contrary but they seem to have been decided according to peculiar constitutional provisions of those States. *Burcher v. People*, 41 Colo. 495, 93 Pac. 14, 124 Am. St. Rep. 143; *Matter of Mary McGuire*, 57 Cal. 604, 40 Am. Rep. 125. The act does not apply to men so it is not within the principle of *Lochner v. New York*, 198 U. S. 45, 25 Sup. Ct. 539, 49 L. Ed. 937; and *Opinion of the Justices*, 208 Mass. 622, 94 N.E. 1044. A classification of women and children as to employment, confined to manufacturing and mechanical establishments is a reasonable one and a legitimate exercise of legislative power. *Com v. Hamilton*, 120 Mass. 383; *Griffith v. Connecticut*, 218 U. S. 563, 31 Sup. Ct. 132, 54 L. Ed. 1151.